Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,859	YAMAUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew Heneghan	2134
All Participants:	Status of Application: P	ending
(1) <u>Matthew Heneghan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Huppert</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 January 2008	Time: <u>11:45 AM EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	Y	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Attorney Huppert stated that no reply had been sent to the o		AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief suminal content of the provide and the sum of the provide and the provide a	The examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance
Nion of		
(Applic	cant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)